

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT TACOMA

DIANA SAIKI,

Plaintiff,

v.

MCG HEALTH LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:22-CV-849-RSM-DWC

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

CYNTHIA STRECKER,

Plaintiff,

v.

MCG HEALTH LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:22-cv-862-RSM-DWC

LEO THORBECKE and MARJORITA
DEAN,

Plaintiff,

v.

MCG HEALTH LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:22-cv-870-RSM-DWC

LINDA BOOTH, MARY NAPIER, and
CANDACE DAUGHERTY,

Plaintiff,

v.

MCG HEALTH LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:22-cv-00879-RSM-DWC

EVA DRESCH,

Plaintiff,

v.

MCG HEALTH LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:22-cv-892-RSM-DWC

LINDA CRAWFORD and MICHAEL
PRICE,

Plaintiff,

v.

MCG HEALTH LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:22-cv-00894-RSM-DWC

1	JAY TAYLOR and SHELLEY TAYLOR,	Case No. 2:22-cv-00925-RSM-DWC
2	Plaintiff,	
3	v.	
4	MCG HEALTH LLC,	
5	Defendant.	

6	JULIE MACK, JOANNE MULLINS, and	Case No. 2:22-cv-00935-RSM-DWC
7	INGRID COX,	
8	Plaintiff,	
9	v.	
10	MCG HEALTH LLC,	
11	Defendant.	

12	KENNETH HENSLEY, as legal guardian of	Case No. 2:22-cv-00978-RSM-DWC
13	R.H.,	
14	Plaintiff,	
15	v.	
16	MCG HEALTH LLC,	
17	Defendant.	

18 The above captioned cases are currently pending before this Court.

19 On June 22, 2022 Plaintiff's counsel in *Saiki v. MCG Health, LLC*, No. 2:22-cv-00849-
20 DWC (W.D. Wash., filed June 16, 2022) filed a motion to consolidate three of the above
21 captioned cases. Dkt. 5. However, since that time several additional cases have been filed.
22 Therefore, Saiki's Motion to Consolidate (Dkt. 5) is denied without prejudice.
23
24

1 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42 provides the Court discretion to consolidate actions
2 that involve a common question of law or fact. The Court's review reveals that Plaintiffs in the
3 above captioned cases have filed virtually identical Complaints against Defendant MCG Health
4 LLC, each alleging similar causes of action predicated on parallel facts.

5 Under the circumstances, consolidation appears to be in the interest of judicial efficiency
6 and appropriate to promote the fair and just resolution of these disputes. Accordingly, all parties
7 are hereby ORDERED to meet-and-confer in accordance with Local Civil Rule 42(b) and either
8 file a stipulation to consolidate or file a response of no more than five pages (excluding
9 supporting declarations) SHOWING CAUSE why the above captioned cases should not be
10 consolidated (without prejudice to later bifurcation, as appropriate) on or before August 22,
11 2022. Either way, all parties must employ the above caption and file their stipulation or response
12 in each of the above captioned cases.

13 At this time the Court will not hear argument regarding appointment of interim lead
14 counsel or any other matters preliminary to a motion for class certification.

15 The Clerk is directed to file this order in all above captioned cases and to send uncertified
16 copies of this Order to all counsel of record.

17 IT IS SO ORDERED.

18 Dated this 3rd day of August, 2022.

19 

20 David W. Christel
21 United States Magistrate Judge
22
23
24